CHROM. 10,636

Note

Resolution of epimeric (25R)- and (25S)-26-hydroxycholesterol by highpressure liquid chromatography

JOSEPH REDEL

Institut de Rhumatologie, Centre de Recherches sur les Maladies Osteo-Articulaires (U.5. INSERM-ERA 07 0337 CNRS), Hôpital Cochin, 27 rue du Fg Saint-Jacques, 75674 Paris Cedex 14 (France) and

JÖEL CAPILLON

Laboratoire de Chimie Organique des Hormones (G.R. 20 CNRS), Collège de France, 11 Place Marcelin Berthelot, 75005 Paris (France)

(Received September 22nd, 1977)

We have reported previously^{1,2} the synthesis of two polar metabolites of cholecalciferol, 24,25-dihydroxycholecalciferol and 25,26-dihydroxycholecalciferol. The poor stereoselectivity led to mixtures of diastereoisomers (epimers), 24*R* and 24*S* in the case of the former metabolite and 25*R* and 25*S* in the case of the latter. Recently, we have achieved^{3,4} the resolution of the epimers by high-pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) on high-surface-area silica at the intermediate stage of 24,25dihydroxycholesterol 3,24-diacetate and 25,26-dihydroxycholesterol 3,26-diacetate, respectively. The separation was performed by following a recycling mode.

We now report a similar resolution of a mixture of (25R)- and (25S)-26-hydroxycholesterol 3β ,26-diacetate by HPLC, leading to the still unknown pure (25S)-26-hydroxycholesterol⁵.

The transformation of (25R)- and (25S)-26-hydroxycholesterol into (25R)- and (25S)-26-hydroxycholecalciferol, respectively, will be reported subsequently.

EXPERIMENTAL

Instrumental

High-pressure liquid chromatography was performed with a Waters Assoc. chromatograph equipped with a 6000A pump, a U6K injector, a refractometric detector and two columns ($30 \text{ cm} \times 7.9 \text{ mm I.D.}$) packed with Microporasil. The mobile phase was *n*-hexane containing 2.5% (v/v) of ethyl acetate at a flow-rate of 2 ml/min and a pressure of 31 kg/cm².

NMR spectra were obtained on a Cameca 250-MHz spectrometer in $10^{-2} M$ carbon tetrachloride solution with tetramethylsilane as internal standard.

Melting points were measured in open capillary tube and are uncorrected.

Optical rotations were measured for chloroform solutions.

Cholesterol derivatives

A mixture of (25R)- and (25S)-26-hydroxycholesterol was obtained according

according to Varma *et al.*⁵ by hydroboration of cholesta-5,25-dien-3 β -ol tetrahydropyranyl ether with either (+)- or (-)-diisopinocampheylborane. The corresponding diol 3 β ,26-diacetates were prepared by acetylation with acetic anhydride and pyridine at room temperature. Saponification of diacetates after resolution was accomplished in 5% methanolic potassium hydroxide solution at ambient temperature.

RESULTS

The hydroboration of cholesta-5,25-dien-3 β -ol-tetrahydropyranyl ether with either (+)- or (-)-diisopinocampheylborane⁵ led to a *ca.* 1:1 mixture of (25*R*)- and (25*S*)-26-hydroxycholesterol. Varma *et al.*⁵ obtained nearly pure (*ca.* 83% optical purity) 25*S* epimer with (-)-diisopinocampheylborane.

The resolution of (25R)- and (25S)-26-hydroxycholesterol 3β ,26-diacetate was accomplished at the 25-mg level; ten recycles were necessary in order to achieve complete separation.

The less polar epimer

M.p. 99–100°; $\alpha_{\rm D}^{25\circ} = -34.3^{\circ}$ (c = 1.1). Authentic (25S)-26-hydroxycholesterol-3 β ,26-diacetate is unknown. Saponified: m.p. 173°; $\alpha_{\rm D}^{25\circ} = -38.7^{\circ}$ (c = 1.5). Reported for (25S)-26-hydroxycholesterol ("optical purity *ca*. 83%"): m.p. 171–172 (ref. 5); $\alpha_{\rm D}^{25\circ} = -38.0 \pm 1.16^{\circ}$ (c = 1.72)⁵.

The more polar epimer

M.p. 128–129°; $\alpha_{\rm D}^{20^\circ} = -37.0^\circ$ (c = 0.9). Reported for (25*R*)-26-hydroxycholesterol 3β ,26-diacetate (derived from kryptogenin): m.p. 128–129° (ref. 6); $\alpha_{\rm D}^{20^\circ} = -35^\circ (c = 1)^6$. Saponified: m.p. 172–173°; $\alpha_{\rm D}^{0^\circ} = -30.3^\circ (c = 1.2)$. Reported for (25*R*)-26-hydroxycholesterol: m.p. 177–178 (ref. 6) or 172–173 (ref. 5); $\alpha_{\rm D}^{20^\circ} = -30^\circ$ (c = 1)⁶; ($\alpha_{\rm D}^{21^\circ} = -33.5 \pm 1.3^\circ (c = 1.5)^5$.

We conclude that the less polar epimer is 25S and the more polar epimer is 25R.

NMR spectrometry

Mixture of (25*R*)- and (25*S*)-26-hydroxycholesterol 3β -26-diacetate: CH₃-18, 0.67 (s); CH₃ - 21 and 27, 0.92 (d); CH₃ - 19, 1.01 (s); OAc - 26, 1.96 (s); OAc - 3, 2.0 (s); H - 26, between 3.7 and 3.9 (AB part of an ABX); H - 3, 4.5 (m); H - 6, 5.35 (d) ppm.

25*R* and 25*S* diastereomers can be characterized by their CH₂ – 26 signal. The latter appears (AB part of an ABX) in the case of 25*S* as δ_A 3.86, δ_B 3.74 ppm, $J_{AX} = 5$ Hz, $J_{BX} = 7$ Hz, $J_{AB} = 11$ Hz, and in the case of 25*R* as δ_A 3.92, δ_B 3.82 ppm, $J_{AX} = 6$ Hz, $J_{BX} = 2.5$ Hz and $J_{AB} = 11$ Hz (according to a first-order analysis).

DISCUSSION

HPLC is a highly efficient method for separating a mixture of (25R)- and (25S)-26-hydroxycholesterol 3,26-diacetate and provides pure epimers, as confirmed by NMR spectrometry. The melting point and optical rotation of the more polar epimer are in good agreement with (25R)-26-hydroxycholesterol 3,26-diacetate derived

from kryptogenin^{5.6} and this identity is confirmed after saponification to (25R)-26-hydroxycholesterol^{5.6}.

The less polar epimer, (25S)-26-hydroxycholesterol 3,26-diacetate, never prepared before by chemical methods, was converted by saponification into (25S)-26hydroxycholesterol with a melting point and optical rotation similar to those of the material of 83% optical purity prepared by Varma *et al.*⁵,

Schubert *et al.*⁷ reduced 26-hydroxycholest-4-en-3-one, obtained by microbial oxidation of cholesterol, to 26-hydroxycholesterol (m.p. 171–173°) and its 3,26-diacetate (m.p. 119–123°). X-ray studies⁸ have shown this hydroxyenone to be of 25S configuration. The melting point of the latter diacetate is different from the m.p. $(99-100^{\circ})$ found for our (25S)-26-hydroxycholesterol-3,26-diacetate. This disagreement is at present under investigation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Miss N. Bazely and Mrs. Y. Calando for skilled technical assistance. We thank Miss Michon and Dr. Lallemand, École Normale Supérieure, Paris, for the NMR spectra.

REFERENCES

- 1 J. Redel, N. Bazely, Y. Calando, F. Delbarre, P. A. Bell and E. Kodicek, J. Steroid Biochem., 6 (1975) 117.
- 2 J. Redel, P. A. Beil, N. Bazely, Y. Calando, F. Delbarre and E. Kodicek, Steroids, 24 (1974) 463.
- 3 J. Redel, N. Bazely, F. Delbarre and Y. Calando, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. D, 283 (1976) 857.
- 4 J. Redel, L. Miravet, N. Bazely, Y. Calando, M. Carré and F. Delbarre, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. D, 285 (1977) 443.
- 5 R. K. Varma, M. Koreeda, B. Yagen, K. Nakanishi and E. Caspi, J. Org. Chem., 40 (1976) 3680.
- 6 I. Scheer, M. J. Thompson and E. Mosettig, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 78 (1956) 4733.
- 7 K. Schubert, G. Kaufmann and H. Budzikiewicz, Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 176 (1969) 170.
- 8 D. J. Duchamp, C. G. Chidester, J. A. F. Wickramasinghe, E. Caspi and B. Yagen, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 93 (1971) 6283.